


1169933 - R8 SDMS

OU3

Kerry Gee

to:

Kathryn Hernandez

08/25/2010 12:21 PM

Cc:

"Kevin Murray", Mia Bearley

Show Details

Kathy, Mia and Kevin:

Last week when we had our meeting, Kevin and I listened to EPA's proposal on OU 3. What we were told (or at least what I heard) was that UPCM would do an EE/CA for Silver Maple (BLM), the Middle Reach and a piece of Asarco leasehold. Park City would do an EE/CA for the repository and all of this collectively would be OU3. The group discussed this collectively and I stated that I was unclear on this and wanted Kevin to get with Mia and I would get with Kathy so we could come up with something in writing that I could pass up to the folks in the Company above me. I indicated that I was having difficulty getting "my head" around it and didn't want anything to get lost in translation. I was particularly unclear on the issue of the Asarco leasehold land. However, in the meeting it was indicated that the piece of land that was being discussed was the land owned by Nadine Gilmor. The intent was to have the farthest south piece of Asarco land.

I spoke with Kathy on Wednesday and I asked about the Nadine Gilmor land indicating that she (Mrs. Gilmor) was suing Park City over some water rights issues and environmental issues on her lands. Honestly, my mind went to some plot by Park City to get this taken care of through the OU3 process or something more sinister. After Kathy and I discussed this further it was clear that the intent was to insert the farthest up stream piece of Asarco leasehold. This land is owned by the estate of Florence Gilmor. It is the most downstream Gilmor land. Ed Gilmor, her brother (deceased) has land upstream of her and Nadine (she married Florence and Ed's brother Frank then he died and she inherited everything) is the most upstream.

I understand which piece it is but still I am not clear as to why it is included in OU3.

Kevin called me a while ago telling me that United Park is to do the EE/CA for BLM, Middle Reach, Asarco piece and the repository. This is not what was discussed in Salt Lake last week.

An EE/CA on the middle reach is clear. An EE/CA on the BLM and Middle reach is clear. An EE/CA on an Asarco piece which is already undergoing an RI/FS process appears odd to me (as I don't fully understand all the reasons to do it). The RI/FS will identify repository sites but as I understand it, waste may go into the new repository quite possibly before the conclusion of the RI/FS process so I understand locating a site now and possible constructing it ahead of the Remedial process.

I have a meeting today with Kevin and the people I report to. I am not going to be able to tell them what is wanted as I am not clear myself. What we will discuss is the BLM/Middle reach EE/CA and the Multiparty agreement that we also discussed at our meeting last week.

I hope you understand that I am not trying to be difficult. I just want to be clear so I can explain to others what is being expected of United Park.

Perhaps we can talk?

Thanks

Kerry